Role of Ambulatory Laparoscopy in Diagnosis of Ascites with Unknown Etiology
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Abstract

Objective: To determine the evidence about timing and role of laparoscopy in ascites work-up.

Methods: Case report

Case: A 26-year-old P2 woman went to our hospital with a history of vaginal delivery 22 days before admission. She also presented with massive ascites. No obstetric complication was found. Transvaginal ultrasound revealed normal postpartum uterus and ovaries, surrounded with ascites. Both the liver and kidneys were found normal on ultrasound. Abdominal CT scan with contrast showed massive ascites with thickened omentum. Ascites ADA (adenosine deaminase) was 36 IU/L. Diagnostic laparoscopy was performed, we found massive yellowish ascites, miliary whitish lesions and hyperaemic tubal enlargement with thickening of the peritoneum. Biopsy was taken, pathology examination showed the appearance of chronic salpingitis and granulomatous peritonitis, in accordance with tuberculosis peritonitis.

Conclusions: Laparoscopy should be the method of choice in diagnosing ascites with unknown etiology after inconclusive results of laboratory and radiological examination. Better visualization, typical appearance in peritoneal TB, chance to perform direct biopsy with lower risk of morbidity are the hallmarks of laparoscopy to be the method of choice to rule out the etiology of ascites.
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INTRODUCTION

Ascites is a pathological accumulation of fluid in the peritoneal cavity. It can be caused by several pathophysiology mechanism such as portal hypertension (75%), malignancy (10%), heart failure (3%), tuberculosis (TB) (1%), and pancreatitis (1%). Diagnostic approach of patient with ascites consists of history, physical examination, blood test, abdominal ultrasound, ascitic fluid analysis through visual inspection, biochemical testing (serum ascites albumin gradient, total protein, amylase, triglycerides, adenosine deaminase (ADA), glucose and lactate dehydrogenase, urea and creatinine), and non-biochemical testing (polymorphonuclear leukocyte count, bacterial cultures, PCR bacterial DNA, cytology), also diagnostic laparoscopy.

Diagnostic laparoscopy offers advantages of direct visualization of peritoneal cavity in combination with ability to obtain targeted biopsy for histological and microbiological studies. It is helpful to determine the diagnosis of peritoneal carcinomatosis, TB peritonitis, and other peritoneal or omental diseases such as mesothelioma and sclerosing peritonitis. However, laparoscopy techniques are not absolutely risk free. It may be associated with injuries to bowel, solid organ, major blood vessels with failure rate up to 14% owing to adhesion of primary illness or former surgery. Currently, laparoscopy has wide application and it has made a revolution in gastroenterology, gynecology, and urological surgeries. Therefore, this case report would like to highlight the ability of laparoscopy in unknown ascites origin which has been performed several laboratories work up.

CASE

A-26-year-old P2 woman came to hospital with a history of vaginal delivery 22 days before admission presenting with massive ascites, anemia (Hb 8.1 g/dL), and malnutrition. Patient complained of abdominal enlargement for 2 weeks. There was no history of hypertension in pregnancy, liver disease such as hepatitis B, chronic cough, bloody cough, night sweats or decrease of body weight. On physical examination, we found tachycardia with heart rate 120 bpm and normal body temperature. The body mass index (BMI) was 17.6 kg/m² (underweight) with upper arm circumference was 20 cm. On physical examination, there was shifting dullness suggesting massive ascites with bilateral non-pitting oedema. Obstetrical status was within normal limits.

Abdominal and vaginal ultrasound showed a postpartum uterus with a small amount of blood clot inside the cavity. There was ascites with fibrins surrounding the pelvic and abdominal cavity suggesting signs of infection (figure 1). Liver and both kidneys were normal. Laboratory examination revealed an increase of Ca-125 level (513.6 U/mL), negative result for HIV and HbsAg. The chest X-ray showed minimal left pleural effusion, infiltrate at supra-hilar and lower lobe of left lung suspected pneumonia. Abdominal CT scan with contrast presented hepatosplenomegaly, calcification lesion at anterior mesentery abdomen sized 0.9 cm suspected lymphadenopathy, and massive ascites with thickened omentum. We performed ascites puncture; coming out 2 litres of yellowish ascites and sent it for ascites analysis, cytology, acid fast and gram staining, and adenosine deaminase (ADA). Ascites analysis was considered as exudate with serum-ascites albumin gradient or gap (SAAG) 0.8. Result of cytology was mesothelial, macrophage, and leucocyte, no malignant tumour cell found. Acid fast bacilli and gram staining showed negative results and ADA was 36 IU/L (normal range <30 U/L).

We decided to perform diagnostic laparoscopy and biopsy for histopathology. We found massive yellowish ascites (2300 mL), uterus was covered by fibrin, left tube was enlarged, and left ovary was normal. On the right tube, there were papules on surfaces; meanwhile, the right ovary was normal. We performed left salpingectomy and took histopathology specimen from peritoneal wall. We could not visualize the appendix and liver because there was adhesion between intestine and peritoneal wall (figure 2). Pathology anatomy result showed
chronic salpingitis and granulomatous peritonitis consistent with tuberculosis peritonitis (figure 3 and 4). Therefore, the final diagnosis was massive ascites due to peritoneal and salpingitis tuberculosis; the patient got nine months of antituberculous drug.

Caseous necrosis (red arrow) was found as part of granuloma (blue circle). Granuloma was surrounded with rim of lymphocytes (yellow arrow) Caseous necrosis (yellow arrow) was found in many areas.

**Problem**

In exudative ascites with unknown origin, how important is laparoscopy to differentiate peritoneal TB and other causes of ascites?

**Searching strategy**

To answer the clinical question, the search was conducted on Pubmed®, Cochrane Library®, Medline®, and Biomed Central®. In PubMed, the search included keywords using the MeSH, namely "Ascites" AND "Tuberculosis" AND "Laparoscopy". Meanwhile, in Cochrane, the MeSH descriptor consisted of “Ascites” AND "Laparoscopy" AND "Tuberculosis". The author used keywords of ascites AND laparoscopy AND tuberculosis both in Medline and Biomed Central. From the searching strategy above performed on March 19th 2020, there were 18, 0, 16, and 23 studies in Pubmed®, Cochrane Library®,
Searching Results

We conducted appraisal to 4 studies according to VIA criteria based on diagnostic study by Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, University of Oxford, 2010. These consisted of two cross-sectional and two cohort studies. From diagnostic appraisal form, two studies did not show blind randomization and all studies did not validate in an independent group of patients. For importance, one study did not show the importance because only one case was performed laparoscopy. Other studies did not reveal the sensitivity and specificity of laparoscopy in peritoneal TB. They recruited samples starting from peritoneal TB patients presenting with ascites. They did not perform laparoscopy on peritoneal TB patients without ascites. Thus, there was only either positive rate or PPV of laparoscopy. For applicability, all studies can be applied in our patient because ambulatory laparoscopy for ascites work-up is available in our institution. Table 1 showed the result of appraisal diagnostic studies.
DISCUSSION

Ascites as an accumulation of fluids inside abdominal cavity is a sequelae of an illness which can come from local peritoneal or systemic disease. Commonly, gradual painless accumulation of ascitic fluid is indicative of chronic benign condition while rapid accumulation and weight loss belong to malignant condition. Routine laboratory test such as chemical examination of ascites fluid can help to diagnosis the original cause of ascites in most cases. Radiological tools are the last non-invasive way to determine etiology with limitations in some cases. In inconclusive laboratory and radiology tests, diagnostic laparoscopy with direct visualization of peritoneal cavity is mandatory to solve this problem and distinguish the origin of ascites.

In our case, under laparoscopic view, there were papules on the surface of the right fallopian tube and adhesion between the peritoneal wall and intestine suspected to be peritoneal TB, confirmed with pathology examination. Peritoneal TB had an incidence of 0.5-1.5% and prevalence of 4-10% within cases of extra-pulmonary TB. Most reported cases with peritoneal TB are frequently related with AIDS, liver cirrhosis, alcohol intake, poverty, and malnutrition. The symptoms can be varied. The most common signs of peritoneal TB were abdominal mass (80.77%) followed by ascites (57.69%) and abdominal distention (57.69%). These signs were not specific and can be mimicking as occult malignancy or cirrhosis.

Imaging techniques such as CT scan and MRI have limited efficacy to diagnosis peritoneal TB due to non-specific. CT scan may show generalized or localized ascites with thin mobile septa, thick omentum and peritoneum, lymphadenopathy, or thickened bowel. In our case, the abdominal CT revealed hepatosplenomegaly, calcification lesion at anterior mesentery abdomen sized 0.9 cm suspected lymphadenopathy, and massive ascites with omentum thickening. It is not specific as common findings of abdominal CT in peritoneal TB: smooth, strongly enhancing peritoneal thickening, a nodular or caked omentum, dense ascites, caseous nodes, soft tissue mesenteric, and omental infiltration. Some studies supported PET-CT as non-invasive method to diagnose peritoneal TB, especially to differentiate with peritoneal carcinomatosis, while others did not because of its high cost. A study did a cost analysis of a peritoneal TB patients who underwent PET-CT scan had similar hospital expenses that performed surgery.

Analysis of ascitic fluid is an important step in diagnosing ascites. In our case, the cytology, acid fast bacilli, and gram staining showed negative results. It raises the challenge for diagnostic difficulties due to the poor predictive value of those tests and low positive bacteriological rate on cytology which only 3% of positive results on direct examinations. Time needed for culture is more than two months for positive results in less than 35% of cases. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of ascites for mycobacterium can be considered for diagnosis; however, it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Blind comparison</th>
<th>Appropriate spectrum of patient</th>
<th>Reference standard applied</th>
<th>Validated in an independent group of patients</th>
<th>Importance Available, affordable, accurate, and precise</th>
<th>Applicability Estimate patient’s pre-test probability</th>
<th>Post-test probabilities affect management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demir K, et al</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Positive rate of laparoscopy: 24/25 (96%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vardareli E, et al</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PPV of laparoscopy: 85%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bediou H, et al</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Positive rate of laparoscopy: 43/51 (84.31%)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang B, et al</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is not widely available. PCR test could reach a sensitivity of 85% in smear-positive patients; however, it falls to 45% in negative smears. Adenosine deaminase (ADA) as purine degrading enzyme is distributed in tissue and body fluid. It is necessary for T lymphocytes proliferation and differentiation as an immune cellular mechanism against M. Tuberculosis. Ascites ADA at cut-off level of 21.0 IU/L has the strongest factor to differentiate peritoneal TB and peritoneal carcinomatosis with good sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value (92.0%, 94.4%, 95.8%, 89.5%). Another study stated that ascites ADA above 39 IU/L was reliable to diagnose peritoneal tuberculosis with 100% sensitivity and 97.2% specificity. ADA in our study was 36 IU/L which meant approaching the cut off value of diagnosing peritoneal TB. In this circumstance, laparoscopy is needed to confirm the diagnosis.

Laparoscopy is preferred over laparotomy due to its well-known minimal invasive advantages. It allows not only inspection of peritoneum, but also obtaining specimens for histology with lower risk of surgical morbidity. Positive appearance of TB in 96% of cases. This includes scattered or confluent multiple, whitish, miliary nodules (<5 mm) over the visceral and parietal peritoneum, adhesion, thickened and hyperemic peritoneum, and turbid ascites. Only one patient showed no signs of peritoneal TB despite histologic examination revealed it. In this study, no complications were found during and after laparoscopy. Reported 18 patients underwent image-guided percutaneous peritoneal biopsy (IGPB). This percutaneous biopsy has limited sensitivity as it is performed blindly, also tissue samples are usually small.

In inconclusive ascites workup, laparoscopy and directed biopsy can establish the diagnosis in 80-95% of cases. Studied 90 cases of isolated exudative ascites explored laparoscopically and found 59 cases of peritoneal TB. The gross aspect of abdominal cavity at laparoscopy was typical in 90% of patients with confirmed tuberculosis. The PPV of TB by laparoscopy was 85%. The pathology examination of peritoneal biopsy to confirm the peritoneal tuberculosis was 58 out of 59 patients (98%). Peritoneal biopsy with laparoscopy is better because of direct visualization and higher quality of specimens obtained. Laparoscopy had lower risk of morbidity and zero mortality outside risk of anesthesia and surgery.

As explained above, the standard of TB diagnosis worldwide is via culture of involved organism. However, this method has significant disadvantage that it consumes longer time to acquire the result. Another alternative tool includes the use of molecular diagnostic method such as PCR; nevertheless, it is not readily available, especially in rural area. A different substitute is to assess peritoneal TB is to measure the level of ascites ADA. For own case, this method was still inconclusive because the cut off is 39 IU/L (100% sensitivity and 97.2% specificity) Therefore, this case reported use of ambulatory laparoscopy as a choice to diagnose peritoneal TB.

CONCLUSION

Laparoscopy should be the method of choice in diagnosing ascites with unknown etiology after inconclusive results of laboratory and radiological examination. Better visualization, typical appearance in peritoneal TB, chance to perform direct biopsy with lower risk of morbidity are the hallmarks of laparoscopy to be the method of choice to rule out the etiology of ascites.
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